FORM PTO-1390 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. (REV 5-93) PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P108172-00022 DATE: December 4, 2000 TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) U.S. APP (1). 10.7 0.1 (IF KNOWN, SEE 3 C.1 CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 Not yet assigned INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED PCT/US99/12121 June 2, 1999 June 2, 1998 TITLE OF INVENTION: GENES OF CAROTENOID BIOSYNTHESIS AND METABOLISM AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US: Francis CUNNINGHAM and Zairen SUN 1.

This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. (THE BASIC FILING FEE IS ATTACHED) This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This express request to begin national examination procedures [35 U.S.C. 371(f)] at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1). A proper demand for International Preliminary Amendment was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date. \boxtimes A copy of the International Application as filed [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)] is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). has been transmitted by the International Bureau. ū is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6 A translation of the International Application into English [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)]. Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)] 74 are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). J have been transmitted by the International Bureau. LΠ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. have not been made and will not be made. 8 A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)]. An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)]. A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 [35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)]. Items 11 - 16 below concern other document(s) or information included: 11. An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98. 12.

An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. A FIRST preliminary amendment. ☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. A substitute specification. 15.

A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. Other items or information: Copies of International Prel. Examination Reports (2); PCT/IB/308; PCT/IPEA/408; PCT/IB/332; Copy of Response to Written Opinion dated June 14, 2000; Statement; Computer readable form and paper copy of sequence listing: copy of published application (WO 99/63055) EH NO. Drawings (45 sheets)

09/701395

505 Roc'd PCT/PTO 04 DEC 2000

U.S. APPIN NO. (IF KNOWN SEE 37 C.F.R. 1.50) Not yet assigned		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/US99/12121		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 108172-00022			
				DATE: December 4, 2000			
17. The following fees are submitted: Basic Nati nal F e [37 C.F.R. 1.492(a)(1)-(5)]: Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO\$860.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482)\$690.00 No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO [37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)]\$710.00 Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) or international search fee [37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)] paid to USPTO\$1,000.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4)				CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY		
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =			\$ 690.00				
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date [37 C.F.R. 1.492(e)].				\$			
Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate				
-Total Claims	8 - 20 =	00	X \$ 18.00	\$			
independent Claims	2 - 3 =	00	X \$ 80.00	\$			
Multiple dependent claim(s)	(if applicable)		+ \$270.00	\$			
ļå TO	TAL OF ABOVE C	ALCULATIONS =		\$ 690.00			
Reduction by one-half for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity statement must also be filed. (Note 37 C.F.R. 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).				\$			
SUBTOTAL =			\$ 690.00				
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later the ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date [37 C.F.R. 1.492(f)].				\$			
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =			\$ 690.00				
Fée for recording the enclosed assignment [37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)]. The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$			
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 690.00			
				Amount to be refunded	\$		
				Charged	\$		
 a.							
NOTE: Where an appropria [37 C.F.R. 1.137(a)		37 C.F.R. 1.494 or 1. d and granted to resto					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn 1050 C nnecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 600 Washingt n, D.C. 20036-5339 T i: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810 Reg. No. 39,107							

09/701395 525 Rec'd PCT/PTO 04 DEC 2000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AS THE RECEIVING OFFICE WITH INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

In re the application of:

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, et al.

PCT International Application Number: PCT/US99/12121

Filed: June 2, 1999

For: GENES OF CAROTENOID BIOSYNTHESIS AND METABOLISM AND METHODS

OF USE THEREOF

RESPONSE TO WRITTEN OPINION

Commissioner of Patents

June 14, 2000

Box PCT Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

This is a reply to the Written Opinion dated April 14, 2000 pursuant to PCT Rule 66.

The applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the Written Opinion.

Novelty

Applicant respectfully disagrees that claims 1-5, 7 and 8 lack novelty as being anticipated by WO 97/36998 (hereinafter referred to as WO '998). The Written Opinion's holding of lack of novelty is based on the teaching of SEQ ID NO:1 taught by WO '998. The Written Opinion asserts that SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 meets the limitations of claims 1 and 2. Applicant respectfully traverses the reasoning of the Written Opinion.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 require

(a) a protein having lycopene epsilon-cyclase enzyme activity and an amino acid sequence which is at least 85% id ntical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 23, 25 or 26,

- (b) a nucleic acid encoding such a protein,
- (c) a vector comprising such a nucleic acid, or
- (d) a host cell containing such a vector.

The amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 does not meet the limitation of "an amino acid sequence which is at least 85% indentical to one of SEQ ID NO: 23, 25 or 26" in the instant claims. A comparison of the amino acid sequence of *Arabidopsis* epsilon-cyclase, which is the protein of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998, and the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:25 of the present application is shown in Figure 16 (see page 7, lines 26-27, of the present application). Applicants have enclosed results of GAP of

- (A) *Adonis* epsilon cyclase #5, which is the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:23 (see page 7, lines 19-20) of the present application and the amino acid sequence of *Arabidopsis* epsilon-cyclase, which is the protein of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 (see pages i and ii attached);
- (B) potato epsilon cyclase, which is the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:25 (see page 7, lines 21-22) of the present application and the amino acid sequence of *Arabidopsis* epsilon-cyclase, which is the protein of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 (see page iii attached); and
- (C) chimeric lettuce/potato epsilon cyclase, which is the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:26 (see page 7, lines 22-23) of the present application and the amino acid sequence of *Arabidopsis* epsilon-cyclase, which is the protein of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 (see pages iv-v attached).

The GAP analysis shows that SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 has only less than 77% identity with the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 23, 25 or 26. Thus, SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998 does not meet the amino acid sequence requirement of the instant claims. As a result, applicant submits that claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are novel.

Applicant also submits that claims 1-5, 7 and 8 have inventive step because there was

no suggestion in the prior art or motivation to modify the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1

of WO '998 to make the amino acid sequence to be at least 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 23,

25 or 26 of the present invention.

Inventive Step

The Written Opinion asserts that claim 6 lacks inventive step as being obvious over WO

'998 based on the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1. Applicant respectfully traverse the

holding. Applicant submits that claim 6 has inventive step because there was no suggestion

in the prior art or motivation to modify the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 of WO '998

to make the amino acid sequence to be at least 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 23, 25 or 26 of

the present invention to arrive at the invention of claim 6.

Conclusion

With the above reasoning and the attached GAP results, applicant requests that the

Examiner withdraw the lack of novelty and lack of inventive step holdings.

Respectfully submitted,

ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC

King L. Wong

King L. Wong

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 37,500

Atty. Docket No. 108172-09023

3

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036-5339 (202) 857-6000

Enclosures: GAP Results (pages i-v)

Our reference: LS95033 Your reference F108172-09023

GAP of: Adonis epsilon cyclase #5 vs Arabidopsis epsilon cyclase

Gap Weight: Average Match: 2.912 Gap Weight: 8 Average Match: 2.912 Length Weight: 2 Average Mismatch: -2.003 Quality: 1941 Length: 536 Ratio: 3.704 Percent Similarity: 78.764 Percent Identity: 72.587 Match display thresholds for the alignment(s): = IDENTITY 1 MELLGVRNL....ISSCPVWTFGTRNLSSSKLAYNIHRYGSSCRVDFQVR 46 1, . | | | : | : | : | | : | | : | 1 MECVGARNFAAMAVSTFPSWSCRRKFPVVKRYSYRNIRFG.LC....SVR 45 47 ADGG..SGSRSSVAYKEGFVDEEDFIKAGGSELLFVQMQQTKSMEKQAKL 94 46 ASGGGSSGSESCVAVREDFADEEDFVKAGGSEILFVOMOONKDMDEOSKL 95 95 ADKLPPIPFGESVMDLVVIGCGPAGLSLAAEAAKLGLKVGLIGPDLPFTN 144 96 VDKLPPISIGDGALDHVVIGCGPAGLALAAESAKLGLKVGLIGPDLPFTN 145 145 NYGVWEDEFKDLGLERCIEHAWKDTIVYLDNDAPVLIGRAYGRVSRHLLH 194 146 NYGVWEDEFNDLGLQKCIEHVWRETIVYLDDDKPITIGRAYGRVSRRLLH 195 195 EELLKRCVESGVSYLDSKVERITEAGDGHSLVVCENEIFIPCRLATVASG 244 196 EELLRRCVESGVSYLSSKVDSITEASDGLRLVACDDNNVIPCRLATVASG 245 245 AASGKLLEYEVGGPRVCVQTAYGVEVEVENNPYDPNLMVFMDYRDYMQQK 294 246 AASGKLLQYEVGGPRVCVQTAYGVEVEVENSPYDPDQMVFMDYRDYTNEK 295 295 LQCSEEEYPTFLYVMPMSPTRLFFEETCLASKDAMPFDLLKRKLMSRLKT 344 296 VRSLEAEYPTFLYAMPMTKSRLFFEETCLASKDVMPFDLLKTKLMLRLDT 345 345 LGIQVTKVYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKNLAFGAAASMVHPATGYSVVRS 394 346 LGIRILKTYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKNLAFGAAASMVHPATGYSVVRS 395 395 LSEAPKYASVIAKILKQDNSAYVVSGQSSAVNISMQAWSSLWPKERKRQR 444

396	LSEAPKYASVIAEILREETTKQINSNISRQAWDTLWPPERKRQR	439
445	AFFLFGLELIVQLDIEATRTFFRTFFRLPTWMWWGFLGSSLSSFDLVLFS	494
	Caldinate in Callinga he 1994 by age.	
1 1 0	AFFLFGLALIVQFDTEGIRSFFRTFFRLPKWMWQGFLGSTLTSGDLVLFA	489
495	MYMFVLAPNSMRMSLVRHLLSDPSGAVMVRAYLER* 530	
490	LYMFVISPNNLRKGLINHLISDPTGATMIKTYLKV* 524	

Our reference: LS95033 Your reference F108172-09023

GAP of: potato epsilon cyclase vs. Arabidopsis epsilon cyclase

Gap Weight: Length Weight: Quality: 1499 Length: Ratio: 3.966 Gaps: Percent Similarity: 79.893 Percent Identity: 76.139 Match display thresholds for the alignment(s): = IDENTITY 1 .DEFKDLGLQACIEHVWRDTIVYLDDDDPIL1 FRAYGR /FPYLLHTELLK 49 151 EDEFNDLGLQKCIEHVWRETIVYLDDDKPITIGRAYGRVSRRLLHEELLR 200 50 RCVEAGVLYLNSKVDRIVEATNGHSLVECEGDVVIPCRFVTVASGAASGK 99 201 RCVESGVSYLSSKVDSITEASDGLRLVACDDNNVIPCRLATVASGAASGK 250 100 FLQYELGGPRVSVQTAYGVEVEVDNNPFDPSLMVFMDYRDYVRHDAQSLE 149 251 LLQYEVGGPRVCVQTAYGVEVEVENSPYDPDQMVFMDYRDYTNEKVRSLE 300 150 AKYPTFLYAMPMSPTRVFFEETCLASKDAMPFDLLKKKLMLRLNTLGVRI 199 301 AEYPTFLYAMPMTKSRLFFEETCLASKDVMPFDLLKTKLMLRLDTLGIRI 350 200 KEIYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKTLAFGAAASMVHPATGYSVVRSLSEAP 249 351 LKTYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKNLAFGAAASMVHPATGYSVVRSLSEAP 400 250 KCAFVLANILRQNHSKNMLTSSSTPSISTQAWNTLWPQERKRQRSFFLFG 299 401 KYASVIAEILREETTKQI.....NSNISRQAWDTLWPPERKRQRAFFLFG 445 300 LALILQLDIEGIRSFFRAFFRVPKWMWQGFLGSSLSXADLMLFAFYMFII 349 446 LALIVQFDTEGIRSFFRTFFRLPKWMWQGFLGSTLTSGDLVLFALYMFVI 495 350 APNDMRRGLIRHLLSDPTGATLIRTYLTF* 378 .||.:|:||| ||.:||||||.

496 SPNNLRKGLINHLISDPTGATMIKTYLKV* 524

Cur reference: LS95033 Your reference F108172-09023

GAP of: lettuce/potato epsilon cyclase chimera vs. Arabidopsis epsilon cyclase

Gap Weight: Length Weight: Quality: 1886 537 Length: Ratio: 3.599 Gaps: Percent Identity: 71.839 Percent Similarity: 77.395 Match display thresholds for the alignment(s): = IDENTITY 1 MECFGARNMTATMAVFTCPRFTDCNIRHKFSLLKQRRFTNLS.ASSSLRQ 49 1 MECVGARNF.AAMAVSTFPSWS.C..RRKFPVVKRYSYRNIRFGLCSVRA 46 50 I.KCSAKSDRCVVDKQGISVADEEDYVKAGGSELFFVQMQRTKSMESQSK 98 47 SGGGSSGSESCVAVRE..DFADEEDFVKAGGSEILFVQMQQNKDMDEQSK 94 99 LSEKLAQIPIGNCILDLVVIGCGPAGLALAAESAKLGLNVGLIGPDLPFT 148 95 LVDKLPPISIGDGALDHVVIGCGPAGLALAAESAKLGLKVGLIGPDLPFT 144 149 NNYGVWODEFIGLGLEGCIEHSWKDTLVYLDDDADPIRIGRAYGRVHRDL 198 145 NNYGVWEDEFNDLGLQKCIEHVWRETIVYLDDD.KPITIGRAYGRVSRRL 193 199 LHEELLRRCVESGVSYLSSKVERITEAPNGYSLIECEGNITIPCRLATVA 248 194 LHEELLRRCVESGVSYLSSKVDSITEASDGLRLVACDDNNVIPCRLATVA 243 249 SGAASGKFLEYELGGPRVSVQTAYGVEVEVDNNPFDPSLMVFMDYRDYVR 298 244 SGAASGKLLQYEVGGPRVCVQTAYGVEVEVENSPYDPDQMVFMDYRDYTN 293 299 HDAOSLEAKYPTFLYAMPMSPTRVFFEETCLASKDAMPFDLLKKKLMLRL 348 294 EKVRSLEAEYPTFLYAMPMTKSRLFFEETCLASKDVMPFDLLKTKLMLRL 343 349 NTLGVRIKEIYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKTLAFGAVASMVHPATGYSVV 398 344 DTLGIRILKTYEEEWSYIPVGGSLPNTEQKNLAFGAAASMVHPATGYSVV 393 399 RSLSEAPKCAFVLANILRQNHSKNMLTSSSTPSISTQAWNTLWPQERKRQ 448

394	RSLSEAPKYASVIAEILREETTKQINSNISRQAWDTLWPPERKRQ	138
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
149	RSFFLFGLALILQLDIEGIRSFFKAFFRVPKWMWQGFLGSSLSSADLMLF	498
139	${\tt RAFFLFGLALIVQFDTEGIRSFFRTFFRLPKWMWQGFLGSTLTSGDLVLF}$	488
199	AFYMFIIAPNDMRRGLIRHLLSDPTGATLIRTYLTF* 535	
	: . .: : : : :	
189	ALYMEVISPNNLRKGLINHLISDPTGATMIKTYLKV* 524	

1 7' =

POR CASE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH